Antisense directed against PS-1 gene decreases brain oxidative markers in aged senescence accelerated mice (SAMP8) and reverses learning and memory impairment: A proteomics study
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Abstract

Amyloid β-peptide (Aβ) plays a central role in the pathophysiology of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) through the induction of oxidative stress. This peptide is produced by proteolytic cleavage of amyloid precursor protein (APP) by the action of β- and γ-secretases. Previous studies demonstrated that reduction of Aβ, using an antisense oligonucleotide (AO) directed against the Aβ region of APP, reduced oxidative-stress-mediated damage and prevented or reverted cognitive deficits in senescence-accelerated prone mice (SAMP8), a useful animal model for investigating the events related to Aβ pathology and possibly to the early phase of AD. In the current study, aged SAMP8 were treated by AO directed against PS-1, a component of the γ-secretase complex, and tested for learning and memory in T-maze foot shock avoidance and novel object recognition. Brain tissue was collected to identify the decrease of oxidative stress and to evaluate the proteins that are differently expressed and oxidized after the reduction in free radicals induced by AO. We used both expression proteomics and redox proteomics approaches. In brain of AO-treated mice a decrease of oxidative stress markers was found, and the proteins identified by proteomics as expressed differently or nitrated are involved in processes known to be impaired in AD. Our results suggest that the treatment with AO directed against PS-1 in old SAMP8 mice reverses learning and memory deficits and reduces Aβ-mediated oxidative stress with restoration to the normal condition and identifies possible pharmacological targets to combat this devastating dementing disease.
reduction of Aβ could conceivably reduce oxidative-stress mediated damage [13] and prevent or revert cognitive deficits [14]. To reduce the levels of Aβ, an antisense oligonucleotide (AO) binding a specific sequence in a selected mRNA, with consequent inhibition of the corresponding protein expression, can be used [15]. Several findings indicate that inhibition of the expression of APP or presenilin-1 (PS-1), a protein member of the γ-secretase complex, can reduce Aβ deposition [16,17].

The senescence accelerated mouse (SAM) subline known as P8 is a model for studying age-related cognitive impairment. These mice show a natural age-related overexpression of Aβ [14,17,18], and an altered energy metabolism [19]. Plaque formation appears after the manifestation of the cognitive impairment [14]; therefore, the presence of amyloid plaques is not involved in learning and memory defects in SAMP8 mice. These features make SAMP8 mice a good model for investigating the events related to the early phase of AD, and investigation of this model conceivably could lead to a therapy for AD. SAMP8 mice have increased oxidative damage in the brain [20–22].

We earlier demonstrated the beneficial effects of reducing APP levels by AO directed at the Aβ region of the APP gene on the decreased oxidative stress in brain [13,23]. In the current study, we used SAMP8 mice treated by an intracerebroventricular (ICV) injection of AO directed against the PS-1 gene.

The aim of this study was to examine the effects on oxidative stress in the SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1, how the reduction of Aβ-induced free radical damage affected protein expression levels and their oxidation in hippocampus, and to determine effects on learning and memory deficits in SAMP8 mice. We used proteomics and redox-proteomics approaches and tests of learning and memory.

Materials and methods

A 9-mer phosphorothioate oligodeoxynucleotide antisense directed against PS-1 and random antisense were purchased from Midland Certified (Midland, TX). The sequence for the PS-1 antisense was TCTGTCTCA and for the random was GATCACGTA. The AOs were diluted in water.

All chemicals, proteases, and antibodies used in these studies were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) with exceptions noted. Criterion precast polyacrylamide gels, TGS and XT MES electrophoresis running buffers, ReadyStrip IPG strips, mineral oil, Precision Plus Protein All Blue standards, Sypro Ruby Protein Stain, nitrocellulose membranes, dithiothreitol (DTT), iodoacetamide (IA), Bioyltes, and urea were purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA).

Subjects

Twelve-month-old SAMP8 mice were from an in-house colony, and the colony derived from stock provided by Dr. Takeda of Kyoto University, Japan. Mice were on a 12-h light:12-h dark cycle (lights on at 06:00 h) and food (PMI Nutrition LabDiet 5001) and water were available ad libitum. The colony frequently underwent serological testing for viral and bacterial contamination. All procedures involving rodents were approved by the St. Louis University/VA IACUC. Samples were divided into three groups treated by an ICV injection of water, random AO, and AO direct against PS-1 (Table 1) in the hippocampal region.

Surgery and drug administration

Mice were injected with PS-1 antisense, random antisense, or water 3 times at 1-week intervals. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and placed in a stereotaxic instrument, the scalp was deflected, and a hole was drilled through the skull over the injection site. The injection coordinates for the ICV injections were 0.5 mm posterior to the Bregma and 1.0 mm to the right and left of the sagittal suture. The injection depth was 2.0 mm; a 2.0 μl solution of water or AO solution was injected over 60 s through a 30 gauge needle. After ICV injection, the scalp was closed and the mice were returned to their cages.

Sample preparation

Two weeks after the last treatment mice were sacrificed. The hippocampal regions of SAMP8 mice were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. After thawing, samples were homogenized using a Wheaton glass homogenizer (~100 passes) in Media I buffer [0.32 M sucrose, 0.10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.10 mM MgCl₂, 0.08 mM EDTA, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 0.5 μg/ml pepstatin, and 11.5 μg/ml aprotinin; pH 8.0]. Homogenates were vortexed and sonicated for 10 s at 20% power with a Fisher 550 Sonic Dismembrator (Pittsburgh, PA). Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA method (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

Validation of PS-1 downregulation after PS-1 antisense treatment

Hippocampal homogenates (75 μg; n = 5) were mixed with sample buffer [0.5 M Tris (pH 6.8), 40% glycerol, 8% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 20% β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.01% bromophenol blue], heated at 95 °C for 5 min, and loaded onto a Criterion precast (4–12%) Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The gel was run in 1X MES running buffer (Bio-Rad) at 80 V for 10 min after which the voltage was increased to 120 V. Following the gel run, proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (0.45 μm) using the Bio-Rad Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system according to the manufacturer’s standard protocol (25 V, 1 A, 30 min). The membrane was blocked at room temperature (RT) for 1.5 h with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in wash blot [a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution containing 0.04% (v/v) Tween 20 and 0.1 M NaCl] and then incubated with goat anti-PS-1-antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) 1:1000 in blocking solution overnight at 4 °C. After three washes with wash blot the membrane was incubated with rabbit anti-goat IgG antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase for 1 h at RT. After three washes the membrane was developed colorimetrically using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate dipotassium combined with nitrotetrazolium blue chloride (BCIP/NBT) in ALP buffer [0.1 M Tris, 0.1 M NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 6 H₂O (pH 9.5)]. The membrane was then probed for β-actin as a loading control. The membrane was incubated with mouse anti-β-actin-antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) 1:4000 in blocking for 2 h at RT. After washing, sheep anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA) was added for 1 h at RT. After three washes, the membrane was developed with chemiluminescence (Bio-Rad). Images were acquired on a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Bio-Rad) and image analysis was performed using Image- Lab software (Bio-Rad).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Samples of old SAMP8 used in this study.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Old SAMP8 with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>water, ICV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Image](image.png)
Measurement of protein carbonyl, protein-bound 4-hydroxynonenal, and 3-nitrotyrosine

Levels of protein carbonyls, protein-bound 4-hydroxynonenal (HNE), and 3-nitrotyrosine (3-NT) were determined immunochromatically. Protein carbonyl levels were detected as adducts of 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH). Five microliters of the samples were treated with an equal volume of 12% SDS. Samples were then derivatized with 10 μl of 20 nM 2,4-DNPH for 20 min, followed by the addition of neutralizing reagent (7.5 μl of 2 M Tris/30% glycerol buffer, pH = 8.0). Levels of protein carbonyl were measured by using the slot-blot technique with 250 ng of protein loaded per slot. The 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone (DNP) adduct of the carbonyl was detected on the nitrocellulose membrane using a primary rabbit antibody (Millipore) specific for DNP-adducts (1:100) followed by a secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma-Aldrich) with conjugated alkaline phosphatase. The reaction product developed colorimetrically using BCIP/NBT in ALP buffer. After developing, blots were allowed to dry overnight, scanned using Adobe Photoshop 6.0 with a Canon CanoScan 8800F scanner, and quantified using ImageQuant TL software (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA). Previous studies demonstrated that samples treated by NaHB4 resulted in no hydrazone formation nor binding.

After developing, blots were allowed to dry overnight, scanned using Adobe Photoshop 6.0 with a Canon CanoScan 8800F scanner, and quantified using ImageQuant TL software (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA). Previous studies demonstrated that samples treated by NaHB4 resulted in no hydrazone formation nor binding.

Immunochromical detection

For immunoblotting analysis, electrophoresis was carried out in the same way as previously described, and the gels were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The blots were incubated with rabbit anti-3-NT polyclonal antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:1000 in 0.3% BSA in wash blot at RT for 2 h. When the incubation with primary antibody was complete, blots were washed for 10 min in wash blot three times. Secondary antibody (anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase-conjugated IgG; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) diluted 1:2000 in wash blot was added to membranes for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were washed three times for 5 min with wash blot and then developed colorimetrically using BCIP/NBT in ALP buffer.

Image analysis

Two-dimensional electrophoresis

Proteins from hippocampus homogenates (200 μg) were precipitated by addition of ice-cold 100% trichloroacetic acid (15% final concentration) and placed on ice for 10 min. Samples were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm (23,700g) for 5 min at 4 °C. The pellets were washed three times with 0.5 ml of ethanol/ethyl acetate (1:1) and then resuspended in 200 μl of rehydration buffer (8 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 20 mM DTT, 2.0% CHAPS (w/v), 0.2% Biolytes, and bromophenol blue) and placed in agitation for 3 h. Solubilized proteins were finally sonicated twice for 30 s. For the first-dimension electrophoresis, 200 μl of sample solution was applied to a ReadyStripPIG strip pH 3–10 (Bio-Rad). The strips were soaked in the sample solution for 1 h to allow uptake of the proteins. The strips were then actively rehydrated in a Protein IEF Cell Apparatus (Bio-Rad) for 16 h at 50 V. The isoelectric focusing was performed at 300 V for 2 h linearly; 500 V for 2 h linearly; 1000 V for 2 h linearly; 8000 V for 8 h linearly; and 8000 V for 10 h rapidly. All the processes above were carried out at room temperature. The focused IEF strips were stored at ~80 °C until second dimension electrophoresis was performed.

For second dimension electrophoresis, the strips were equilibrated for 10 min in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8) containing 6 M urea, 1% (w/v) SDS, 30% (v/v) glycerol, and 0.5% DTT, and then reequilibrated for 15 min in the same buffer containing 4.5% IA in place of DTT. Precast criterion gels (8–16%, Bio-Rad) were used to perform second dimension electrophoresis. Precision ProteinTM Standards (Bio-Rad) were run along with the sample at 200 V for 65 min. After electrophoresis, the gels were incubated in fixing solution (7% acetic acid, 10% methanol) for 45 min. Approximately 40 ml of Sypro Ruby Protein Gel Stain (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) were used to stain the gels overnight. The gels were placed in deionized water overnight for destaining.

Gels were scanned into Adobe Photoshop 6.0 with a Molecular Dynamics STORM Phosphoimager (λex/λem: 470/618 nm) and stored in deionized water at 4 °C until further use.

Differential protein levels

Spot intensities from SYPRO Ruby-stained 2D-gel images of old SAMP8 mice treated with water, old SAMP8 mice treated with random AO, and old SAMP8 mice treated with AO against PS-1 samples were quantified densitometrically according to the total spot density using PDQuest analysis software from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA). Intensities were normalized to total gel densities and/or densities of all valid spots on the gels. Only spots with a 1.5-fold increase or decrease in normalized spot density in those samples and a statistically significant difference based on a Student’s t test or Mann-Whitney U test at 95% confidence (i.e., P < 0.05) were considered for MS analysis.

Oxidative modification

Analyses of gels and blots to compare protein and 3-NT content among old SAMP8 mice treated with water, old SAMP8 mice treated with random AO, and old SAMP8 mice treated with AO against PS-1 were performed with PDQuest image analysis software (Bio-Rad). Blot immunoreactivity (nitration) was normalized to the total protein content as measured by the intensity of SYPRO Ruby-stained gels. Only spots with statistically significant increases or decreases in protein-resident 3-NT levels, as calculated by a Student’s t test and Mann–Whitney U statistical test at 95% confidence, were selected for in-gel trypsin digestion and subsequent MS analysis.

In-gel trypsin digestion

In-gel trypsin digestion of selected gel spots was performed as previously described [25]. Briefly, protein spots identified as significantly altered were excised from 2D-gels with a clean, sterilized blade and transferred to Eppendorf microcentrifuge tubes. Gel plugs were then washed with 0.1 M ammonium bicarbonate (NH4HCO3) at RT for 15 min, followed by incubation with 100% acetonitrile at RT for 15 min. After solvent removal, gel plugs were dried in their respective tubes under a flow hood at RT. Plugs were incubated for 45 min in 20 μl of 20 mM DTT in 0.1 M NH4HCO3 at 56 °C. The DTT/NH4HCO3 solution was then removed and replaced with 20 μl of 55 mM IA in 0.1 M NH4HCO3 and
incubated with gentle agitation at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. Excess IA solution was removed and plugs were incubated for 15 min with 200 μl of 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ at room temperature. A volume of 200 μl of 100% acetonitrile was added to this solution and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. Solvent was removed and gel plugs were allowed to dry for 30 min at RT under a flow hood. Plugs were rehydrated with 20 ng/μl of modified trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ in a shaking incubator overnight at 37°C. Enough trypsin solution was added in order to completely submerge the gel plugs.

Mass spectrometry (MS)

Salts and contaminants were removed from tryptic peptide solutions using C18 ZipTips (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), reconstituted to a volume of ~15 μl in a 50:50 water:acetonitrile solution containing 0.1% formic acid. Tryptic peptides were analyzed with an automated Nanomate electrospray ionization (ESI) [Advion Biosciences, Ithaca, NY, USA] Orbitrap XL. MS (Thermo-Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) platform. The Orbitrap MS was operated in a data-dependent mode whereby the eight most intense parent ions measured in the Fourier Transform (FT) at 60,000 resolution were selected for ion trap fragmentation with the following conditions: injection time 50 ms, 35% collision energy; MS/MS spectra were measured in the FT at 7500 resolution; and dynamic exclusion was set for 120 s. Each sample was acquired for a total of ~2.5 min. MS/MS spectra were searched against the International Protein Index (IPI) database using SEQUEST and the following parameters: two trypsin miscleavages, fixed carbamidomethyl modification, variable methionine oxidation, parent tolerance 10 ppm, and fragment tolerance of 25 mmu or 0.01 Da. Results were filtered with the following criteria: Xcorr1:1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0 for 1, 2, 3, and 4 charge states, respectively, Delta CN0.1, and Peptide ion score 1D and 2D Western blotting

Following 1D- or 2D-PAGE, in-gel proteins were transferred using a Trans-Blot Semi-Dry Transfer Cell system at 20 V for 2 h (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) into a nitrocellulose membrane (0.45 μm). Posttransfer, membranes were incubated in a blocking solution of 3% BSA in Wash Blot at RT for 2 h. Following blocking, membranes were incubated with rabbit polyclonal antibody anti-Pin-1 (1:2000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), rabbit polyclonal antibody anti-isofrom M1 pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2 (KPYM) (1:500) (ABGENT, USA), and rabbit polyclonal antibody anti-actin (Sigma-Aldrich) at RT on a rocking platform for 2–3 h. Blots were washed three times for 5 min each in wash blot, followed by 1 h incubation with rabbit IgG alkaline phosphatase (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:5000) secondary antibody and Amersham ECL rabbit IgG HRP-linked (1:5000) secondary antibody at RT. Blots were rinsed three times for 5 min each in wash blot and developed colorimetrically using BCIP/NBT in ALP buffer and chemiluminescently (λex/λem: 70/618 nm), respectively. After developing, blots were quantified using ImageQuant TL software (GE Healthcare, Pittsburgh, PA).

Training and testing

T-maze training and testing procedures

The T-maze is both a learning task based on working-memory and a reference-memory task. The T-maze consisted of a black plastic alley with a start box at one end and two goal boxes at the other. The start box was separated from the alley by a plastic guillotine door that prevented movement down the alley until raised at the onset of training. An electroifiable floor of stainless-steel rods ran throughout the maze to deliver a mild scrambled foot-shock.

Mice were not permitted to explore the maze prior to training. A block of training trials began when a mouse was placed into the start box. The guillotine door was raised and a cue buzzer sounded simultaneously; 5 s later foot-shock was applied. The arm of the maze entered on the first trial was designated “incorrect” and the mild foot-shock was continued until the mouse entered the other goal box, which in all subsequent trials was designated as “correct” for the particular mouse. At the end of each trial, the mouse was returned to its home cage until the next trial.

Mice were trained until they made 1 avoidance. Training used an intertrial interval of 35 s, the buzzer was of the doorbell type sounded at 55 dB, and shock was set at 0.35 mA (Coulbourn Instruments scrambled grid floor shocker model E13-08). Retention was tested 1 week later by continuing training until mice reached the criterion of 5 avoidances in 6 consecutive trials. The results were reported as the number of trials to criterion for the retention test.
Object–place recognition

Object–place recognition is a declarative memory task that involves the hippocampus when, as performed here, the retention interval is 24 h after initial exposure to the objects. Mice were habituated to an empty apparatus for 5 min a day for 3 days prior to entry of the objects. During the training session, the mouse was exposed to two similar objects (plastic frogs) which it was allowed to examine for 5 min. The apparatus and the objects were cleaned between each mouse. Twenty-four hours later, the mouse was exposed to one of the original objects and a new novel object in a new location and the percentage of time spent examining the new object was recorded. The novel object was made out of the same material as the original object and of the same size, but a different shape. This eliminated the possibility of smell associated with a particular object being a factor. The underlying concept of the task is based on the tendency of mice to spend more time exploring novel objects than familiar objects. Thus, the greater the retention/memory at 24 h, the more time spent with the new object.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean ± SEM, as noted, and statistical analyses were performed using a Mann-Whitney U statistical test and a two-tailed Student’s t test, wherein \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant for differential fold-change values. Only proteins with significant \( P \) values from both tests were considered further for MS identification. Protein and peptide identifications obtained with the SEQUEST search algorithm with \( P < 0.01 \) were considered statistically significant. To further validate SEQUEST identification, the location of protein spots (i.e., molecular weight [MW] and isoelectric point [pI]) on 2D-gels was manually checked based on expected MW and pl values from SwissProt database information. The behavioral tests were analyzed by one-way ANOVAs followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. The sample size was \( n=10 \) for all behavioral tests.

Results

PS-1 levels

Analysis of PS-1 protein levels showed a significantly decreased PS-1 levels in the hippocampus of SAMP8 mice treated with AO against PS-1 (77.9 ± 15.2 (mean ± SEM); \( *P<0.03 \)) when compared to SAMP8 mice injected with random AO (Fig. 1).

Protein oxidation

Protein carbonyls level

Analysis of protein carbonyl levels reveals a small, but significant decrease of this oxidative stress marker in hippocampus from old SAMP8 mice treated with AO against PS-1 compared to those in hippocampus from old SAMP8 mice treated with water (\( *P<0.008 \)). There are no differences in protein carbonyl levels between old SAMP8 mice treated with water compared to old SAMP8 treated with random AO and between old SAMP8 mice treated with random AO compared to old SAMP8 treated with AO against PS-1. These results are shown in Fig. 2.

3-Nitrotyrosine

3-NT levels of brain from old SAMP8 mice after injection of the AO against PS-1 are significantly decreased compared to those in brain from old SAMP8 mice treated with water or with random AO (\( *P<0.03 \); \( **P<0.0003 \), respectively). These results are shown in Fig. 2.

Lipid peroxidation

Protein-bound HNE

HNE is a product of lipid peroxidation [26] and its levels have been found elevated in AD brain [5,6]. The protein-bound HNE levels, as Fig. 2 shows, were not affected greatly by AO treatment against PS-1 (Fig. 2).

Proteomics and redox proteomics

To identify proteins of differential amounts, proteomics analysis using 2-DE and Sypro Ruby staining was performed by comparing the differential patterns of protein expression among hippocampus from old SAMP8 mice treated with water, old SAMP8 mice after injection of the random AO, and old SAMP8 mice after injection of the AO against PS-1.

Fig. 3 shows 2-D gel images related to the matching between hippocampal proteins from old SAMP8 mice treated by water vs old SAMP8 mice treated by random AO, old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 vs old SAMP8 mice treated by water, and old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 vs old SAMP8 mice treated by random AO, in which proteins of different amounts expressed differently are highlighted by circles. Eighteen proteins expressed differently were found, and, interestingly, 17 of these were significantly upregulated in the hippocampus of old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1. These proteins were excised from the gels, digested with trypsin, and analyzed by MS/MS analyses. Table 2 lists the proteins identified with the number of peptide sequences, the score, the coverage, MW, pl, fold-change levels, and \( P \) value. All protein identifications were consistent with comparison of protein positions on the gel with MW and pl from databases.

Specific nitrated proteins were detected immunocytochemically using 2D-gels and 2D-Western blots. 2D-gels and 2D-blots probed with 3-NT antibody were matched using the PDQuest software and the specific 3-NT levels were obtained by dividing the 3-NT level of a single spot on the blot by the protein level of its corresponding protein spot on the gel. Fig. 4 shows 2D-blots related to the matching between hippocampus from old SAMP8 mice treated by water vs old SAMP8 mice treated by random AO; old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 vs old SAMP8 mice treated by random AO.
treated by water; and old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 vs old SAMP8 mice treated by random AO, in which proteins differently nitrated are highlighted by circles.

Table 3 lists the nitrated proteins identified by MS/MS and interrogation of databases. Interestingly, most of these proteins are excessively nitrated in old SAMP8 mice treated with random AO.
compared to either old SAMP8 mice treated by water or old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1. This result confirms our data presented above about the measure of total levels of nitrated proteins.

To confirm the protein expression burden obtained by proteomics data, we carried out Western blot analysis of KPYM and immunoprecipitation followed by Western blot analysis of Pin-1. The results shown in Fig. 5 demonstrate an increase of KPYM in hippocampus from old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 vs old SAMP8 mice treated by water, and an increase of Pin-1 in old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 vs old SAMP8 mice treated by water, validating the trend in levels obtained by proteomics.

Further, to validate nitration levels achieved by proteomics data, immunoprecipitation analyses of LDH and NSF were performed. Fig. 6 shows an increase of LDH in hippocampus from old SAMP8 treated by random AO compared to old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1, and an increase of NSF in old SAMP8 treated by water compared to old SAMP8 mice treated by random AO, validating data from proteomics. In addition, we confirmed the correct identification of two of the proteins, KPYM and Pin-1, by performing 2D-Western blot. The blots were probed with anti-KPYM and anti-Pin-1 antibodies, respectively (Fig. 7). The protein spots of interest are circumscribed by a circle.

**Effect of PS-1 antisense on memory in 12-month-old SAMP8 mice**

For the acquisition test, the one-way ANOVA with trials to criterion as the independent variable showed a significant treatment effect. Dunnett’s post hoc analysis indicated that the mice that received AO against PS-1 took significantly fewer trials to reach criterion than the mice that received water and the mice that received AO against PS-1. There was no difference between the mice that received random AO and the mice that received water. There was no difference between the mice that received random AO and the mice that received water (see Fig. 8A). A one-way ANOVA for trials to criterion on the retention test showed a significant treatment effect. As above, Dunnett’s post hoc analysis indicated that the mice that received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>Protein identified</th>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Number of identified peptides</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>MW (kDa)</th>
<th>pl</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Fold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G (0) subunit alpha (GNAO)</td>
<td>P18872</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.01</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>A-B</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Isocitrate dehydrogenase (NAD) subunit alpha, mitochondrial (IDH3A)</td>
<td>Q9D6R2</td>
<td>21.04</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35.33</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Isocitrate dehydrogenase (NAD) subunit alpha, mitochondrial (IDH3A)</td>
<td>Q9D6R2</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23.67</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>A-C</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Act3 protein</td>
<td>Q3ULF7</td>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25.72</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Act3 protein</td>
<td>Q3ULF7</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24.53</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>A-C</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>V-type proton ATPase subunit B, brain isoform (V-ATPase)</td>
<td>P62814</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.46</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>A-C</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dihydropyrimidinase-related protein 2 (CRMP-2)</td>
<td>O08553</td>
<td>18.01</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48.51</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dihydropyrimidinase-related protein 2 (CRMP-2)</td>
<td>O08553</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dihydropyrimidinase-related protein 2 (CRMP-2)</td>
<td>O08553</td>
<td>15.03</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40.68</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Alpha-enolase (ENO)</td>
<td>P17182</td>
<td>38.02</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>74.44</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>A-C</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Isoform M1 pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2 (KPYM)</td>
<td>O08749</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27.41</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>A-C</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component subunit alpha (PDH1A)</td>
<td>P35486</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.97</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase, cytoplasmic (AATC)</td>
<td>P05201</td>
<td>26.88</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>52.62</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Isoform A of cytosolic acyl coenzyme A thioester hydrolase (BACH)</td>
<td>Q91V12-2</td>
<td>20.71</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.01</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Isoform A of cytosolic acyl coenzyme A thioester hydrolase (BACH)</td>
<td>Q91V12-2</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>ATPsynthase subunit alpha, mitochondrial (ATPase)</td>
<td>Q03265</td>
<td>23.51</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>53.66</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>ES1 protein homolog, mitochondrial (ES1)</td>
<td>Q9D172</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>A-C</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PIN-1)</td>
<td>P17742</td>
<td>17.68</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>A-C</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Triososephosphate isomerase (TP1)</td>
<td>P17751</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>A-C</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Protein D9-1 (PAK7)</td>
<td>Q99LX0</td>
<td>42.33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.84</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Prohibitin (fragment)</td>
<td>P35486</td>
<td>10.63</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>N-ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion protein attachment protein beta (SNAPs)</td>
<td>Q5SQG5</td>
<td>18.12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.01</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>A-B</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component subunit beta, mitochondrial (PDH1)</td>
<td>P9d051</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.84</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>B-C</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The number of peptide sequences identified by nanospray ESI-MS/MS of tryptic peptides.

† The fold-change in spot density from (C) compared with (A) and (B). The arrow indicates the direction of change.

‡ The P value associated with fold-change calculated using a Student’s t test.
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AO against PS-1 took significantly fewer trials to reach criterion than the mice that received water and the mice that received random antisense. There was no difference between the mice that received water and the mice that received random antisense (see Fig. 8B). The ANOVA for percentage time exploring the novel object showed a significant treatment effect. The mice that received AO against PS-1 spent a greater amount of time exploring the novel object than the mice that received water and the mice that received random antisense. There was no difference between the mice that received water and the mice that received random antisense (Fig. 8C).

Discussion

Much evidence supports a central role of Aβ as a causative agent of AD [2,4,27]. Inhibition of APP or γ-secretase can decrease Aβ formation [28] with consequent reversal of memory impairment [14]. γ-Secretase is a complex that consists of PS-1, nicastrin, anterior pharynx homolog 1, and presenilin enhancer protein 2 among other moieties [29]. PS-1 has an essential role in the γ-secretase complex and in the processing of APP. Mutations in the PS-1 gene are causative of FAD and are responsible for an increase in Aβ42 in humans [30,31]. PS-1 and PS-2 knockout mice have no γ-secretase [32], demonstrating the critical role of PS-1 for γ-secretase activity. For this reason PS-1 could be a promising therapeutic target for AD. Several studies demonstrated that the reduction of PS-1 leads to a downregulation of amyloid β protein [16,33]. Kumar et al. noted that an increased expression or mutation of PS-1 may modulate regulation of the γ-secretase activity, with consequent increased Aβ accumulation and early impairment in SAMP8 mice [34].

We previously demonstrated that the AO treatment against APP in 12-month-old SAMP8 mice is due to a decrease in Aβ-
mediated oxidative stress [13]. Hence, antisense therapy conceivably could be curative for AD through reduction of Aβ levels and reversal of deficits in learning and memory [17].

This study was designed to determine whether there was a decrease of oxidative stress in hippocampus from old SAMP8 mice after treatment with AO against PS-1, and to identify the hippocampal proteins that were less oxidized or expressed differently after AO-mediated reduction of oxidative-nitrosative stress induced by Aβ. Our results show that the amounts of protein oxidation (protein carbonyl and 3-NT) are reduced in old SAMP8
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Fig. 5. (A) Western blot to confirm the expression level of isoform M1 pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2 (KPYM) of old SAMP8 mice treated by water (V) and old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 (anti-PS1). Error bars indicate SEM for four animals in each group and all values were normalized to β-actin. (B) Immunoprecipitation followed by Western blot analysis was performed to confirm the expression level of Pin-1 between old SAMP8 mice treated by water (V) and old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 (anti-PS1). Pin-1 protein was immunoprecipitated using anti-Pin-1 antibody and then probed for Pin-1 level. Error bars indicate SEM for two animals in each group.
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Fig. 6. Immunoprecipitation followed by Western blot analysis was performed to confirm the nitration levels of (A) L-lactate dehydrogenase B chain (LDHB) and (B) vesicular-fusion protein (NSF) of old SAMP8 mice treated by random AO (rAO) vs old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 (anti-PS1) and old SAMP8 mice treated by water (V) vs old SAMP8 mice treated by random AO (rAO), respectively. The proteins first were immunoprecipitated using anti-LDH or anti-NSF antibody and then probed with 3-NT antibody. Representative blots of LDH and NSF probed with 3-NT antibody are shown. Error bars indicate SEM for two animals in each group.
mice after injection of AO against PS-1, consistent with previous data [13,35]. In contrast, treatment with AO against PS-1 did not exert the same protective effect on protein-bound HNE levels.

We determined specific nitrated proteins, as well as the proteins that are expressed differently, to gain insight into mechanisms that are affected by reduction of oxidative stress in brain secondary to the decrease of Aβ levels. The proteins identified as expressed differently or nitrated are grouped in Tables 4 and 5 correlated with their functions.

Energy dysfunction or mitochondrial dysfunction

Several studies showed aberrant mitochondrial morphology and reduced rate of cerebral metabolism in AD brain [36–38]. Given that glucose is the principal source for the production of ATP in healthy brain, diminished glucose utilization leads to less production of ATP with loss of synapses and synaptic function producing memory impairment. Further, decreased levels of ATP would disturb ion homeostasis, impairing ion motive ATPases, glucose and glutamate transporters and membrane asymmetry, rendering neurons susceptible to excitotoxicity and apoptosis [39].

We identified IDH3A, KPYM, PDHA1 and PDH, TPI, ATP synthase, AATC, prohibitin, ES1, and α-enolase with higher levels in brain from old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 compared to old SAMP8 treated with random AO and old SAMP8 treated with water. We also found LDH and isoform 2 of IDH3A less nitrated in old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 compared to old SAMP8 treated with random AO and old SAMP8 treated with water. These proteins are related to the glycolytic and TCA pathways.

Mitochondrial-resident ATP synthase α chain plays a crucial role in production and release of ATP. As a component of complex V this protein transforms the mitochondrial proton gradient into chemical energy. Previous studies showed decrease levels of complex V [40] and its oxidative modification in AD [41]. Here, we showed an increased expression of ATP synthase α chain in brains of old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1, and, together with other identified proteins noted above, this suggests that energy metabolism is improved by AO treatment against PS-1, which significantly improves learning and memory in SAMP8 mice and is connected with the behavioral measures shown in this study.

LDH is an important enzyme that, during anaerobic conditions, converts pyruvate to lactate, as an alternative source for neuronal energy production. We found LDH nitrated in AD brain, and this modification decreased the enzymatic activity and compromised the additional use of lactate for energy production [42]. Further, in aged SAMP8 mouse brain, LDH was identified as oxidized and with low expression levels, suggesting an impairment of LDH activity even in aged SAMP8 mice [43]. In our study, LDH was found less nitrated in old SAMP8 after injection with AO against PS-1. These data suggest a reduction of oxidative stress and a recovery of LDH activity with consequent increased energy production.

α-Enolase, a subunit of enolase, is involved in energy metabolism but also has roles in neuronal outgrowth and cellular differentiation, cell survival, and Aβ degradation [44]. Enolase is the only protein with increased amounts of protein carbonyls, protein-bound HNE, and 3-NT in common in brain from subjects with AD and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) [44–46]. Enolase also was found oxidized in aged SAMP8 [13], but its level was not altered in aged brains [47] and in AD brains [47]. We found an increased expression of α-enolase in aged SAMP8 mice after injection with AO against PS-1 that may help to reverse the reduced glucose metabolism and abnormal neuronal growth observed in aged SAMP8 mice, as well as activate prosurvival pathways, and increase Aβ clearance [44]. Taken together, our proteomics results confirm an interaction between PS-1 and cellular metabolism, and suggest that PS-1-mediated γ-secretase activity may be an important therapeutic target for AD.

Neuritic abnormality

CRMP-1 and CRMP-2, actin-related protein 2 and 3, and septin-11 are involved in axonal outgrowth and neuronal repair and they have a crucial role for neuronal connections preserving learning and memory processes. CRMP-2 was found downregulated and reduced glucose metabolism and abnormal neuronal growth in aged SAMP8 mice, as well as activate prosurvival pathways, and increase Aβ clearance [44]. Taken together, our proteomics results confirm an interaction between PS-1 and cellular metabolism, and suggest that PS-1-mediated γ-secretase activity may be an important therapeutic target for AD.

Cell cycle, tau phosphorylation, Abeta production

Pin-1 plays an important role in cell cycle regulation, recently seen to be abnormal in AD and MCI [50], and modulates the phosphorylation of tau protein, acting both on kinases and on phosphatases. Pin-1 was found to be downregulated and also oxidatively modified in AD brain [51,52] and MCI brain [50,53]. These alterations cause a significantly diminished activity of Pin1 that may lead to hyperphosphorylation of tau [54]. Hyperphosphorylation of tau causes the formation of neurofibrillary tangles,
which damage and kill neurons. We found an increased expression level of Pin-1 in brain in aged SAMP8 treated by AO. This increased could restore the activity of Pin-1 crucial to counteract the neurofibrillary tangle formation in AD.

SNAPs are highly conserved proteins that exist in three isoforms, α-, β-, and γ-SNAP, and exert a central role in intracellular
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### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functions</th>
<th>Proteins involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy or mitochondrial dysfunction</td>
<td>Isocitrate dehydrogenase (NAD) subunit α (IDH3A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isoform M1 pyruvate kinase isozymes M1/M2 (KPYM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component subunit alpha (PDHA1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase (AATC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATP synthase (ATPase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pyruvate dehydrogenase component subunit beta, mitochondrial (PDH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prohibitin (fragment) (PHB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triosephosphate isomerase (protect against neuronal apoptosis) (TPI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES1 protein homolog, mitochondrial (ES1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alpha-enolase (ENO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuritic abnormalities</td>
<td>Actin-related protein 3 (Actr3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptic abnormalities and LTP</td>
<td>Dihydropyrimidinase-related protein 2 (CRMP-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion protein attachment protein beta (SNAPs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guanine nucleotide protein G (9) subunit alpha (GNAO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell cycle; tau phosphorylation; and Abeta production</td>
<td>Pin-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid abnormalities</td>
<td>Isoform A of cytosolic acyl coenzyme A thioester hydrolase (BACH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysosomal dysfunction</td>
<td>V-type proton ATPase (V-ATPase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antioxidant defense</td>
<td>Protein DJ-1 (PARK7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 8. The mice that received PS-1 antisense took significantly fewer trials to make one avoidance than the mice that received water or random antisense (A); on the 1-week retention test the mice that received PS-1 antisense took significantly fewer trials to reach criterion than the mice that received water or random antisense (B); and in object recognition the mice that received PS-1 antisense spent significantly more time exploring the novel object than the mice that received water or random antisense (C). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.
membrane fusion and vesicular trafficking, crucial for the function of the synapse. γ-SNAP was found oxidized in AD brain [51]. The oxidation of γ-SNAP may alter neurotransmitter systems, which is correlated with altered memory and cognition in AD. Here, the expression levels of β-SNAP are increased in aged SAMP8 treated by AO against PS-1 compared to aged SAMP8 treated by random AO, which is consistent with improved learning and memory following this treatment that are observed in this study.

Isoform 5 of Dyn-1, another protein involved in synaptic vesicle recycling and related to memory formation, has a central role in mitochondrial dynamics, and malfunction of this mechanism can contribute to cell injury during neurodegenerative diseases like AD. Nitric oxide can lead to S-nitrosylation of Dyn-1 with activation of its fission activity and consequent mitochondrial fragmentation, synaptic damage, and neuronal apoptosis [55]. We found that Dyn-1 is less oxidized in old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1 compared to old SAMP8 treated by random AO. Therefore, the treatment with AO against PS-1 might lead to reduced Aβ levels and consequently nitric oxide levels with consequent improved mitochondrial dynamics.

**Lipid abnormalities**

Isoform Acyl-CoA thioesterases are a group of enzymes that maintain lipid homeostasis, a pathway compromised in AD [56]. We found an increase in the expression levels of this protein that if produced in AD could modulate loss of lipid asymmetry reported in AD brain [57,58] as well as affect beta-oxidation of fatty acids, improving the decreased energy metabolism in AD.

**Lysosomal dysfunction**

Lysosomes exert an important role in the degradation of old and damaged proteins and organelles through two pathways: autophagic and endocytic pathways [59] known to be impaired in AD [60]. A recent study reports that PS-1 mutations involved in FAD compromised these pathways [61]. PS-1 is important for lysosomal acidification since it is responsible for the maturation of V-ATPase, a proton pump crucial to set lysosomal pH. PS-1 mutations cause incorrect localization of V-ATPase with consequent autophagic and lysosomal impairment.

In our study, V-ATPase is upregulated in old SAMP8 mice after injection with AO against PS-1 compared to aged SAMP8 treated by random AO and aged SAMP8 treated with water. This increase conceivably could contribute to reversing the dysfunction of autophagic and endocytic pathways [62].

**Summary**

In our study, decreased oxidative stress markers (protein carbonyls and 3-NT) were found, and all of the brain proteins identified as differently expressed and oxidized appear overexpressed (except guanine nucleotide-binding protein G) and less nitrated (except CRMP-2 and peptidase α) in old SAMP8 mice treated by AO against PS-1. These proteins are involved in processes related to energy metabolism, neuritic growth, lipid abnormalities, cell cycle, synaptic abnormalities, tau function, and lysosomal function, which are all impaired in AD (Fig. 9). That is, AO treatment against PS-1 leads to elevated levels or less nitration of proteins known to be altered in AD. Therefore, the treatment with AO directed against PS-1 in old SAMP8 mice can reduce Aβ-mediated oxidative stress and increase expression of proteins known to be altered in AD and may support a possible pharmacologic strategy to combat this devastating dementing disease.

**Table 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functions</th>
<th>Proteins involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy or mitochondrial dysfunction</td>
<td>α-enolase (ENO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isoform 2 of isocitrate dehydrogenase (NAD) subunit α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peptidase α (DPL2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuritic abnormalities</td>
<td>Vesicle-fusing ATPase (NSF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dihydropyrimidinase-related protein 2 (CRMP-2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dihydropyrimidinase-related protein 1 (CRMP-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptic abnormalities</td>
<td>Actin-related protein 2 (Actr2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marker of inflammation</td>
<td>Septin-11 (SEP11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marker of inflammation</td>
<td>Isoform 5 of Dynamin-1 (DYN1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marker of inflammation</td>
<td>Isoform 2 of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 9. Venn diagram of relationship between nitrated brain proteins in subjects with AD and SAMP8 mice.](image)
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